

# Checklist for Peer Review Plan Elements

A Resource for CSP State Entity Grantees

> U.S. Department of Education July 16, 2021



The National Charter School Resource Center (NCSRC) provides technical assistance to federal grantees and resources supporting charter sector stakeholders working across the charter school life cycle. NCSRC is funded by the U.S. Department of Education and managed by Manhattan Strategy Group in partnership with WestEd.

This checklist was produced by the U.S. Department of Education Charter School Programs team.

This publication was produced in whole or in part with funds from the U.S. Department of Education under contract number GS10F0201T. The content does not necessarily reflect the position or policy of the U.S. Department of Education, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the federal government.

## **Peer Review Plan Elements Checklist**

## **Contractor Support**

□Yes □No □N/A	Intention to use peer review logistical contractor
□Yes □No □N/A	Roles and responsibilities of contractor
□Yes □No □N/A	Expected timelines for contractor deliverables

### **Panel Information**

□Yes □No	The review team's schedule for the review of applications, including how many days will be needed for the review process and how applications will be discussed
□Yes □No	The size of the panels and, if known, the number of panels and reviewers
□Yes □No	A description of how applications will be assigned to panels

#### **Peer Reviewers**

□Yes □No	Standards/evaluation criteria for recruiting/selecting reviewers (including whether SE staff will serve as peer reviewers)
□Yes □No	Reasonable accommodations for reviewers with disabilities, where applicable
□Yes □No	Description of reviewer training that addresses scoring expectations (such as examples of exemplary vs. insufficient comments and a scoring rubric), including training materials, to the extent they are available

□Yes □No	Procedures for independent review before any group panel discussion occurs
□Yes □No	Procedures that will be used to replace a reviewer in situations where the reviewer is either unable or unwilling to perform his or her job
□Yes □No	A copy of the scoring forms

## **Conflict of Interest**

□Yes □No	A procedure to have each reviewer check applications prior to beginning the review, to identify conflicts of interest
□Yes □No	A description of how conflicts of interest will be minimized and resolved
□Yes □No	Additional conflict waivers that might be requested

## **Panel Operation**

□Yes □No	Criteria program officials will use to determine when to solicit discussion of an application or a group of applications
□Yes □No	Procedures for scoring applications
□Yes □No	Procedures that will be used to ensure a high-quality peer review, including determining/addressing wide variation in scores

## **Funding Decisions**

□Yes	How applications will be selected for funding, including criteria for determining
□No	awards and whether applications will be ranked or if a cut score will be used

□Yes	How applications will be handled if two or more receive the same score
□No	

#### Transparency

ng the
ons are